UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,355	01/09/2004	Aaron L. Jestice	F0025.0001/P001	2786
24998 7 DICKSTEIN SH	7590 01/08/2007	007	EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			PALABRICA, RICARDO J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DÉLIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	TA	T			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Communication	10/753,355	JESTICE, AARON L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rick Palabrica	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 23-47 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/753,355 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the 10/11/06 telephonic interview with the previous examiner, applicant has elected to examination the claims drawn to the method, i.e., claims 23-47. Upon review by the current examiner noted that the instant application is further subject to the following species/subspecies election requirement.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - A: The embodiment as shown in Fig. 2
 - B: The embodiment as shown in Fig. 3.

The species are independent or distinct because there are alternative processes for the claimed invention and they have mutually exclusive characteristics.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 23 appears to be generic.

- 3. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A and B</u>, applicant is further required to elect one of the following <u>sub-species of the material to be detected</u>, for purposes of examination.
 - C: Wherein the material is an explosive.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,355

Art Unit: 3663

• D: Wherein the material is a controlled substance.

This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse materials disclosed as suitable for detection (e.g., see claim 23).

Page 3

- 4. <u>If sub-species C is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect a single <u>sub-species of the explosive</u>, for purposes of examination. For example, applicant may elect TNT alone or RDX alone. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse explosives disclosed as possible (e.g., see claim 44).
- 5. <u>If sub-species D is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect a single <u>sub-species of the element comprising the controlled substance</u>, for purposes of examination. For example, applicant may elect carbon alone or oxygen alone. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse elements disclosed as possible (e.g., see claim 43).
- 6. <u>If either one of sub-species C or sub-species D is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect a single <u>sub-species of the confounder</u>, for purposes of examination. For example, applicant may elect nylon alone or food alone. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse materials disclosed as possible (e.g., see claim 47).
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/753,355

Art Unit: 3663

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 571-272-

6880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30, Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RJP

December 28, 2006

RICARDO J. PALABRIC PRIMARY EXAMPLES Page 5